

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/998,058	THREADGILL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Sally A. Sakelaris	1634	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Finally rejected

(1) Sally A. Sakelaris.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Christopher P. Perkins.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 June 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
 60-63 and 74

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
 See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was offered an allowance consisting of amended claim 60 and claims 61-63 and new claim 74. Applicant was not able to get a hold of his client in time and furthermore believed his client would not be amenable to such an allowance, requiring the cancellation of all other pending claims. He asked instead that a final action be issued in the case. .